



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P O Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/620,523	07/20/2000	Bruce E. Novich	1596C5	2899
22852	7590	12/05/2012	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			GRAY, JILL M	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1789		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		12/05/2012	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/620,523	NOVICH ET AL.	
	Examiner JILL GRAY	Art Unit 1789	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 October 2012.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on _____; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.

4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

5) Claim(s) 1,4,6-12,15-40 and 43-58 is/are pending in the application.

5a) Of the above claim(s) 4,6-11,21-39 and 48-58 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

7) Claim(s) 1,12-20,40,43-47 is/are rejected.

8) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

9) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

* If any claims have been determined allowable, you may be eligible to benefit from the **Patent Prosecution Highway** program at a participating intellectual property office for the corresponding application. For more information, please see http://www.uspto.gov/patents/init_events/pph/index.jsp or send an inquiry to PPHfeedback@uspto.gov.

Application Papers

10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

11) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date, _____.
 2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
 4) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 1, 2012 has been entered.
2. Pursuant to the entry of the amendment of October 1, 2012, the status of the claims is as follows: Claims 1, 4, 6-12, 15-40, 43-58 are pending. Claims 4, 6-11, 21-39, 48-58 are withdrawn. Claims 1, 18 and 40 are amended.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed October 1, 2012 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the prior art references do not specify properties such as the air permeability or wet-through and is not an inherent or obvious property.

In this regard, it is the examiner's position that the collective prior art teachings provide a reinforced laminate that is substantially the same as that contemplated by applicants. The filler materials of the prior art are substantially the same as those contemplated by applicants, and thus would necessarily render obvious the Mohs' hardness requirement. Moreover, there is no clear factual evidence on this record that the prior art laminate would not have the claimed air permeability.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
5. Claims 1, 12-20, 40, and 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Publication translation 4-307787 (Iketani) in view of Japanese Patent Publication translation 1-249333 (Nagamine), further in view of PCT Publication WO 93/24314 (Papageorge).

Iketani teaches a method for manufacturing a printed circuit substrate by inserting a glass cloth prepreg impregnated with a thermosetting resin varnish. See abstract. In addition Iketani teaches that the prepreg is obtained by impregnating the fiber substrate with a varnish containing a filler and then impregnating with a varnish containing no filler, per claims 1 and 40. The substrate can be glass cloths and nonwoven glass fabrics and the fillers can be inorganic non-polymeric fillers. The filler has a particle size within the instant claimed range, per claims 15 and 44. See [0006]. Also, the thermosetting varnish can be an epoxy resin, polyamide resin or a phenolic resin, wherein the preferred resin is an epoxy resin. See [0007]. Accordingly, Iketani teaches that the glass cloth is impregnated with a “resin compatible coating” which is compatible with the matrix material, and that said “resin compatible coating” comprises a plurality of particles, as required by claims 1 and 40. While Iketani teaches that glass cloths can be used, he is silent as to the glass cloth being non-degreased and the specific particles as now claimed.

Papageorge teaches printed circuit boards comprising a laminate formed by impregnating a resin, such as epoxy into a glass cloth substrate wherein the base resin has highly thermally conductive particles incorporated therein, wherein said particles can be a nitride, carbide or graphite. See pages 4-5 and Examples. At the time the invention was made, the skilled artisan would have been reasonably motivated to modify the teachings of Iketani by using as the filler material a nitride, carbide or graphite, as taught by Papageorge in order to produce a laminate with high thermal conductivity, by incorporating filler material of high thermoconductivity, low coefficient of thermal expansion and sufficient hardness. Accordingly, the substitution of one known filler material in the production of reinforced laminates for another (known filler material) would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Nagamine is as set forth previously and teaches a laminate adapted for an electronic support wherein the laminate comprises a glass cloth impregnated with a resin such as epoxy. Nagamine teaches that the glass yarns that his glass cloth is formed from can be sized with various known sizing agents which can be used in accordance with the purpose of the glass cloth. Nagamine also discloses that a non-desizing sizing agent, which does not require degreasing or surface treatment is known in the art, said non-desizing sizing agent eliminating the necessity of twisting, degreasing, and surface treatment and thereby significantly improving productivity and production yield.

As set forth above, Iketani teaches circuit board substrate comprising a glass cloth prepreg impregnated with a thermosetting varnish containing a filler and a varnish without a filler. Iketani is silent as to whether the glass cloth is non-degreased. Also as set forth above, Nagamine teaches that non-desized glass cloths are known in the art and provide efficacious economic properties such as improved productivity and production yield. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use as the glass cloth substrate any glass cloth substrates known in the art as being suitable for impregnation of epoxy resins when producing substrates for circuit boards such as a non-desized glass cloth as taught by Nagamine, motivated by the reasonable expectation of success of making a prepreg for a circuit board substrate and improving productivity and production yield. In addition, all of the claimed elements were known in the art, namely, sized glass cloths and non-desized glass cloths, both of which are taught to be useful in the production of laminates for electronic supports. The substitution of one known element (sized glass cloth) for another known in the art, (non-desized glass cloth) would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

As to claim 13, Papageorge teaches that the particles can be nitrides, carbides or graphite, which are the same type of particles contemplated by applicants. Accordingly, it is the position of the examiner that the particles of the prior art have a Moh's hardness value which does not exceed the Moh's hardness value of glass fiber.

As to claims 14 and 43, Iketani teaches that the particles have a particle size within applicants' claimed range. Accordingly, it is the examiner's position that the

teachings of Iketani would have provided direction to the skilled artisan for particles of the instant claimed size and thereby obviating the requirement of a particle size sufficient to allow strand wet out.

As to claims 16-17, 19-20, and 45-46, Iketani is silent as to the specific composition of the resin compatible coating; however, Iketani does teach that epoxy with fillers can be the thermosetting impregnating varnish. Nagamine teaches an epoxy resin for a use in as an impregnating varnish for glass cloth in the formation of circuit boards, said epoxy resin comprising at least one film-forming material, a resin reactive diluent comprising functional groups of the type contemplated by applicants. Note page 17. At the time of the invention thereof, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan, to impregnate a glass cloth substrate with an epoxy resin composition containing particulate filler, as taught by Iketani, wherein the specific filler material and epoxy resin composition are selected from among those known in the art, such as those filler materials taught by Papageorge and the epoxy resin composition taught by Nagamine and as set forth by applicants, motivated by the ability to produce laminates for electronic supports that have good dimensional stability, dielectric properties and heat resistance.

Regarding claim 12, Papageorge teaches filler material that is the same type contemplated by applicants, such as graphite, nitrides and carbides. Accordingly, the examiner has reason to believe that filler material of Papageorge have a thermal conductivity within the instant claimed range, in the absence of factual evidence to the contrary.

Regarding claims 18 and 47, the reinforced laminate of the prior art is substantially similar to that of applicants. Accordingly, it is the position of the examiner that properties such as LOI and air permeability are the same as well, in the absence of factual evidence to the contrary.

Therefore, the combined teachings of Iketani, Nagamine and Papageorge would have rendered obvious the invention as claimed in present claims 1, 12-20, 40, and 43-47.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JILL GRAY whose telephone number is (571)272-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and alternate Fridays 10:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Ortiz can be reached on 571-272-1206. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jill Gray/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1789

jmg